

THE STATE OF THE S

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

DATE MAILED:

Washington, D.C. 20231

IO

10/04/00

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. C 0732-970223 03/07/00 BINGEL 09/508,057 **EXAMINER** HM22/1004 NAZARIO GONZALEZ, P KEIL & WEINKAUF 1101 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON DC 20036 1621

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/508,057**

Appenant(s)

Bingel et al.

Examiner

Porfirio Nazario-Gonzalez

Group Art Unit 1621

		ш				11111	
ı	ши	1111	BII 88		1		
					8 I B I J		
	шы	3111	ш	13 a f 1	41811		
		3			1111		
		ш	BII 18	HULL	11111		
ı	ш		HI 11		4 8		12 E E P

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
☐ This action is FINAL .							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the						
Disposition of Claims							
	is/are pending in the application.						
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.						
	is/are rejected.						
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.						
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _approved _disapproved.						
\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
🛛 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
⊠ All □ Some* □ None	f the priority documents have been						
🛛 received.							
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	nber)						
\square received in this national stage application from the							
*Certified copies not received:							
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	ry under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
Attachment(s)							
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892							
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s). <u>3</u>						
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	10						
 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 	} 0						
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	THE FOLLOWING PAGES						

Application/Control Number: 09/508,057 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products*, *Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/508,057 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 3 provides for the use of an adduct of the formula I, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 1, the phrases "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The range of the variable a in line 19 ("0<a>-4") is not clear. Please correct.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/508,057

Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 9. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0 416 815 A2 in view of US 5,264,590, both references cited by Applicants. The EP '815 patent discloses the preparation of geometry constrained monocyclopentadienyl metallocenes by reacting the alkali salt of the geometry constrained monocyclopentadienyl ligand with a transition metal trihalide ether adduct, particularly TiCl₃(THF)₃. See examples. The instant process differs from the EP patent in the particular transition metal trihalide ether adduct used. The US '590 patent teaches the preparation of ethylene glycol dimethyl ether adduct of Ti(III) tricloride (TiCl₃(DME)_{1.5}). Further, the '590 patent teaches that Ti(III) coordination complexes with ether are useful in the preparation of metallocenes (column 1, lines 10-15). It would therefore have been prima facie obvious to the skilled artisan at the time the invention was made to replace the TiCl₃(THF)₃ adduct in the reaction taught by the EP patent with TiCl₃(DME)_{1.5} as taught by the US patent in order to synthesize a metallocene compound.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Nazario-Gonzalez whose telephone number is (703) 308-4632. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter, can be reached on (703) 308-4532. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

PNG September 30, 2000